

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,451	02/05/2004	Shinichi Miyazaki	N0029.1650	3851
32172	7590 10/05/20	06	EXAMINER	
	N SHAPIRO MORI	LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE		
1177 AVEN 41 ST FL.	UE OF THE AMERIC	CAS (6TH AVENUE)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	K, NY 10036-2714	·	2812	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,451	MIYAZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812	

7. avicery 7. ec. e.	10/1/1,431						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		MOTINE ET TOMOTIEE	, W.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the shortened stan	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	be med within the time period set it	omm in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered l	because				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:	•	·					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		1 11/1/					
13. Other:	, , ,	Walter L. Lindsay, Primary Examiner	Jr.				
		Art Unit: 2812					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Carey teaches multilevel interconnect/chip structures, these structures and limitation would be necessary for the multilevel devices. It would also be necessary for these structures to be formed while in contact with a support member.